|  |
| --- |
| 2021 Score Card**New Project** - Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) or Rapid Rehousing (RRH) |
| Organization Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Program Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Date: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Applicant Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Applicant Email: | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| HUD Eligibility Requirements*MUST answer “Yes” for application to move forward* *Screens 1A-1L* |
| Does the applicant meet minimal guidelines to proceed? If applicant has any infractions, please provide back-up as to why applicant should be considered. (see attached [Eligibility Requirements for Applicants of HUD’s Grants Programs](file:///%5C%5Cfhfs1%5Cshared%5CCommunity%20Health%20Improvement%5CCoC%5CCoC%20NOFA%5CFY21%5CEligibilityRequirementsGrantProgramsFiscalYear2020%20-%20Attach%20to%20Score%20Card.pdf)) | [ ] Yes[ ] No |

|  |
| --- |
| CoC Local Thresholds*Local thresholds are objective and the reviewer MUST answer “Yes” to all for the application to move forward in the rank process. Points will be addressed throughout the tool.* *Screens 3B, 6A, 6I* |
| Will the project participate in coordinated entry? (HMIS projects excluded, 1 point for HMIS) | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Does the project address how they intend to implement a housing first and/or low barrier to entry? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Does the project applicant provide documented, secured minimum match? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Is the project financially feasible? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Is the applicant an active CoC participant? (attends at minimum, 75% of CoC meetings – Lead Agency will provide info) | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Is the application complete and data consistent? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| If utilizing local CCIN database, is data quality at or above 90%? (provided by HMIS Lead) | [ ] Yes[ ] No[ ] N/A |

|  |
| --- |
| Policy Priorities*Policy priorities to be addressed by project applicant. Check “yes” to all that apply. Applicant MUST address at minimum 1 priority need. In order to receive full points, the applicant must show the need within project description on how they intend to impact the priorities selected. As a reminder, the CoC conducted an annual gaps analysis which can be used as additional support.* |
| Ending homelessness for all persons | [ ] Yes |
| Use a housing first approach\*CoC Local Priority | [ ] Yes |
| Reducing unsheltered homelessness\*CoC Local Priority | [ ] Yes |
| Improving system performance\*CoC Local Priority | [ ] Yes |
| Partnering with housing, health, and service agencies (BONUS)  | [ ] Yes |
| Racial equity (BONUS)\*CoC Local Priority | [ ] Yes |
| Persons with lived experience | [ ] Yes |
| **Total (yes):****Bonus 10 Points Racial Equity:****Bonus 10 Points Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies:****Total Points (add total yes and bonus):** |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Experience |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.**Screens 2B, 3B* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Applicant describes the experience working with the proposed population and providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.  |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| Applicant describes the utilization of housing first including 1) Eligibility Criteria 2) Process for accepting new clients 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. *Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local), marital, family status, familial* |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| Applicant describes experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidence by timely reimbursement of sub recipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.  |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **35** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Threshold Requirements |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer. There is a 3 question threshold, if the applicant doesn’t fully address 3 out of 4 questions the project will be rejected.**Screens 3B, 4A, 4B, 6A-6F* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Project describes type of housing, including the number and configuration of units, and how the project fits the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families)? |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| Project describes the type of supportive services being offered to program participants ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding sources? |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| Project describes a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meeting the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education)? |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| Project describes how program participants assisted obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing)? |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **60** |

|  |
| --- |
| Timelines |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.**Screen 3B* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Applicant describes a plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **10** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Financial Information |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.**Review Screen [x]: CoC Funding Requested, Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city), Amount of private funding**Screen 6J* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Recent audit identified agency as ‘low risk’ |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| Recent audit indicates no findings |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| Documented match amount meets HUD requirements |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable |  | *Out of* | 20 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **35** |

|  |
| --- |
| Program Participant Outcomes (Equity) |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.**Addressed within supplemental face sheet* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review.  |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **30** |

|  |
| --- |
| Equity Factors - Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies  |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.**Addressed within supplemental face sheet* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| New project’s organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75g)). |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **40** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Effectiveness & System Performance |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.**Review project thresholds and experience screens listed above* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| MEASURE 1 LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS: Does project describe a method of moving participants into permanent housing quickly?  |  | *Out of* | 20 |
| MEASURE 2 RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS: Does project describe a method of how participants will remain in permanent housing?  |  | *Out of* | 25 |
| MEASURE 4 INCOME AND NON-CASH: Does project describe a method of how participants will increase or gain both earned income and non-employment income?  |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| MEASURE 7 SUCCESSFUL PLACEMENT: Does project describe a method of how participants will exit to permanent housing if they don’t remain within PSH project? Or upon closure of RRH program?  |  | *Out of* | 20 |
| HIGH NEED POPULATIONS: Does project describe a method on prioritizing chronically homeless and/or the unsheltered population?  |  | *Out of* | 20 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **100** |
|  **Total Application Points:** |  | **/310** |
| **Total Bonus Points:** |  | **/27** |