|  |
| --- |
| 2022 Score Card**New Project** - HMIS |
| Organization Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Program Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Date: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Applicant Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Applicant Email: | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| HUD Eligibility Requirements |
| *Must answer “yes” to all in order to move on, points will be addressed throughout the tool.* |
| Does the applicant meet minimal guidelines to proceed? If applicant has any infractions, please provide back-up as to why applicant should be considered. (see attached [Eligibility Requirements for Applicants of HUD’s Grants Programs](file:///%5C%5CFHFS1%5Cusers%5CShared%5CCommunity%20Health%20Improvement%5CCoC%5CCoC%20NOFA%5CFY21%5CEligibilityRequirementsGrantProgramsFiscalYear2020%20-%20Attach%20to%20Score%20Card.pdf)) | [ ] Yes[ ] No |

|  |
| --- |
| CoC Local Thresholds*Local thresholds are objective and the reviewer MUST answer “yes” to all for the application to move forward in the rank process. Points will be addressed throughout the tool.* |
| Will the project participate in coordinated entry?  | [x] N/A |
| Does the project address how they intend to implement a housing first and/or low barrier to entry? | [x] N/A |
| Does the project applicant provide documented, secured minimum match? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Does the project solely commit to serving unsheltered homelessness?*HUD Category 1 and Category 4 definition of homelessness* | [x] N/A |
| Is the project financially feasible? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Is the application complete and data consistent? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| If utilizing local CCIN database, is data quality at or above 90%?  | [x] N/A |

|  |
| --- |
| Policy Priorities |
| *Policy priorities to be addressed by project applicant. Check “yes” to all that apply. Applicant MUST address at minimum 1 priority need. In order to receive full points, the applicant must show the need within project description on how they intend to impact the priorities selected. As a reminder, the CoC conducted an annual gaps analysis which can be used as additional support.* |
| Use a housing first approach | [ ] Yes |
| Reducing unsheltered homelessness | [ ] Yes |
| Improving system performance | [ ] Yes |
| Partnering with housing, health, and service agencies **(BONUS)** | [ ] Yes |
| Promote Racial equity and service identified, underserved populations **(BONUS)** | [ ] Yes |
| Persons with lived experience | [ ] Yes |
| **Total (yes):** | *Out of* **6** |
| **Bonus 10 Point Racial Equity and Underserved Populations:** | *Out of* **10** |
| **Bonus 10 Points Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies:** | *Out of* **10** |
| **Total Points (add total yes and bonus):** | *Out of* **26** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Threshold Requirements |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer. There is a 4 question threshold, if the applicant doesn’t fully address 4 out of 4 questions the project will be rejected.*  | Points Awarded | *Out of* | Max Value |
| The project applicant’s performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except dedicated HMIS projects that are not required to meet this standard.*Auto points awarded N/A for HMIS* |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.*If the answer is no, award points.* |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **30** |

|  |
| --- |
| Timeliness |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Applicant describes a plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **10** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Financial Information |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.**Review Screen [x]: CoC Funding Requested, Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city), Amount of private funding**See 24 CFR 578.73 for CoC Program Match Requirements.* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Documented match amount meets HUD requirements |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable |  | *Out of* | 20 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **30** |

|  |
| --- |
| Performance & Outcome |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.**See FY2022-2026 HUD Strategic Plan and St. Johns County CoC FY2020-2022 Strategic Plan for Priority Listings.* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Does the project address HUD priorities? |  | *Out of* | 50 |
| Does the project address CoC priorities? |  | *Out of* | 50 |
| **Total Awarded** |  | *Out of* | **100** |

**SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS:**

Project applicants will complete these questions in addition to their application submission(s) within e-snaps. Supplemental questions can be submitted as an attachment within e-snaps, and/or submitted by email to the Consolidated Applicant.

|  |
| --- |
| Program Participant Outcomes (Equity)  |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| Project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| Project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **30** |

|  |
| --- |
| Equity Factors - Agency Leadership, Board Membership, Employment, Governance, and Policies  |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions. **(BONUS)** |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| Project’s organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75g). **(BONUS)** |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| Project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one. **(BONUS)** |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| Project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Bonus Points:** |  | *Out of* | **15** |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **25** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Total Application Points** |  | **/251** |
|  **Total Bonus Points** |  | **/35** |