|  |
| --- |
| 2022 Score Card**New Project** – Supportive Services Only- Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) Projects |
| Organization Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Program Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Date: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Applicant Name: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Applicant Email: | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| HUD Eligibility Requirements*MUST answer “Yes” for application to move forward* *Screens 1A-1L* |
| Does the applicant meet minimal guidelines to proceed? If applicant has any infractions, please provide back-up as to why applicant should be considered. (see attached [Eligibility Requirements for Applicants of HUD’s Grants Programs](file:///%5C%5Cfhfs1%5Cshared%5CCommunity%20Health%20Improvement%5CCoC%5CCoC%20NOFA%5CFY21%5CEligibilityRequirementsGrantProgramsFiscalYear2020%20-%20Attach%20to%20Score%20Card.pdf)) | [ ] Yes[ ] No |

|  |
| --- |
| CoC Local Thresholds |
| *Local thresholds are objective and the reviewer MUST answer “Yes” to all for the application to move forward in the rank process. Points will be addressed throughout the tool. Screens 3B, 6A, 6I* |
| Will the project participate in coordinated entry?  | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Does the project address how they intend to implement a housing first and/or low barrier to entry? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Does the project applicant provide documented, secured minimum match? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Is the project financially feasible? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| Is the application complete and data consistent? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| If utilizing local CCIN database, is data quality at or above 90%? (provided by HMIS Lead) | [ ] Yes[ ] No[ ] N/A |

|  |
| --- |
| Policy Priorities*Policy priorities to be addressed by project applicant. Check “yes” to all that apply. Applicant MUST address at minimum 1 priority need. In order to receive full points, the applicant must show the need within project description on how they intend to impact the priorities selected. As a reminder, the CoC conducted an annual gaps analysis which can be used as additional support.* |
| Ending homelessness for all persons | [ ] Yes |
| Use a housing first approach | [ ] Yes |
| Reducing unsheltered homelessness | [ ] Yes |
| Improving system performance | [ ] Yes |
| Partnering with housing, health, and service agencies (BONUS) | [ ] Yes |
| Promote racial equity and service identified, underserved populations (BONUS) | [ ] Yes |
| Persons with lived experience | [ ] Yes |
| **Total (yes):** | *Out of* **7** |
| **Bonus 10 Point - Racial Equity and Underserved Populations:** | *Out of* **10** |
| **Bonus 10 Points - Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies:** | *Out of* **10** |
| **Total Points (add total yes and bonus):** | *Out of* **27** |

|  |
| --- |
| Experience |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.* *Screens 2B, 3B* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Applicant describes the experience working with the proposed population and providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.  |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| Applicant describes the utilization of housing first including 1) Eligibility Criteria 2) Process for accepting new clients 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. *Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, including but not limited to: allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local), marital, family status, sexual orientation, gender identity and race.* |  | *Out of*  | 10 |
| Applicant describes experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding.For example: satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidence by timely reimbursement of sub recipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.  |  | *Out of*  | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **35** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Threshold Requirements |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer. There is a 2 question threshold, if the applicant doesn’t fully address 2 out of 4 questions the project will be rejected.* *Screens 3B, 4A-4G, 6A-6F* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| The centralized or coordinated assessment system is easily available/reachable for all persons within the CoC’s geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC’s geographic area. |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers within the CoC’s geographic area. |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| There is a standardized assessment process. |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| Ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs. |  | *Out of* | 15 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **60** |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Timeliness |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Applicant describes a plan for rapid implementation of the program. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **10** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Financial Information |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.**Review Screen [x]: CoC Funding Requested, Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city), Amount of private funding**Screen 6J* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| Documented match amount meets HUD requirements |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable |  | *Out of* | 20 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **25** |

|  |
| --- |
| Program Participant Outcomes (Equity)  |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.**Addressed within supplemental face sheet* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **30** |

|  |
| --- |
| Equity Factors - Agency Leadership, Board Membership, Employment, Governance, and Policies  |
| *Questions are answered with a “Yes” or “No” response. Full points awarded for “Yes” response.**Addressed within supplemental face sheet Provide attachment* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions. (BONUS) |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| New project’s organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness (per 578.75g). (BONUS) |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one. (BONUS) |  | *Out of* | 5 |
| New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes. |  | *Out of* | 10 |
| **Total Bonus Points:** |  | *Out of* | **15** |
| **Total Awarded:** |  | *Out of* | **25** |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Effectiveness & System Performance |
| *Questions will be scored on a 0 to max value range based on the interpretation of the reviewer.**Review project thresholds and experience screens listed above* | Points Awarded | Max Value |
| MEASURE 1 LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS: Does project describe a method of moving participants into permanent housing quickly? |  | *Out of* | 35 |
| HIGH NEED POPULATIONS: Does project describe a method on prioritizing chronically homeless and/or the unsheltered population?  |  | *Out of* | 35 |
| **Total Awarded** |  | *Out of* | **70** |
|  **Total Application Points:** |  | **/240** |
| **Total Bonus Points:** |  | **/42** |